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Chapter Objectives

- To explore the history and benefits of virtual machines
- To discuss the various virtual machine technologies
- To describe the methods used to implement virtualization
- To show the most common hardware features that support virtualization and explain how they are used by operating-system modules
Overview

- Fundamental idea – abstract hardware of a single computer into several different execution environments
  - Similar to layered approach
  - But layer creates virtual system (virtual machine, or VM) on which operation systems or applications can run

- Several components
  - **Host** – underlying hardware system
  - **Virtual machine manager (VMM) or hypervisor** – creates and runs virtual machines by providing interface that is identical to the host
    - (Except in the case of paravirtualization)
  - **Guest** – process provided with virtual copy of the host
    - Usually an operating system

- Single physical machine can run multiple operating systems concurrently, each in its own virtual machine
System Models

Non-virtual machine

Virtual machine
Implementation of VMMs

- Vary greatly, with options including:
  - **Type 0 hypervisors** - Hardware-based solutions that provide support for virtual machine creation and management via firmware
    - IBM LPARs and Oracle LDOMs are examples
  - **Type 1 hypervisors** - Operating-system-like software built to provide virtualization
    - Including VMware ESX, Joyent SmartOS, and Citrix XenServer
  - **Type 1 hypervisors** – Also includes general-purpose operating systems that provide standard functions as well as VMM functions
    - Including Microsoft Windows Server with HyperV and RedHat Linux with KVM
  - **Type 2 hypervisors** - Applications that run on standard operating systems but provide VMM features to guest operating systems
    - Including VMware Workstation and Fusion, Parallels Desktop, and Oracle VirtualBox
Implementation of VMMs (cont.)

- Other variations include:
  - **Paravirtualization** - Technique in which the guest operating system is modified to work in cooperation with the VMM to optimize performance
  - **Programming-environment virtualization** - VMMs do not virtualize real hardware but instead create an optimized virtual system
    - Used by Oracle Java and Microsoft.Net
  - **Emulators** – Allow applications written for one hardware environment to run on a very different hardware environment, such as a different type of CPU
  - **Application containment** - Not virtualization at all but rather provides virtualization-like features by segregating applications from the operating system, making them more secure, manageable
    - Including Oracle Solaris Zones, BSD Jails, and IBM AIX WPARs

- Much variation due to breadth, depth and importance of virtualization in modern computing
History

- First appeared in IBM mainframes in 1972
- Allowed multiple users to share a batch-oriented system
- Formal definition of virtualization helped move it beyond IBM
  1. A VMM provides an environment for programs that is essentially identical to the original machine
  2. Programs running within that environment show only minor performance decreases
  3. The VMM is in complete control of system resources
- In late 1990s Intel CPUs fast enough for researchers to try virtualizing on general purpose PCs
  - **Xen** and **VMware** created technologies, still used today
  - Virtualization has expanded to many OSes, CPUs, VMMs
Benefits and Features

- Host system protected from VMs, VMs protected from each other
  - I.e. A virus less likely to spread
  - Sharing is provided though via shared file system volume, network communication
- Freeze, **suspend**, running VM
  - Then can move or copy somewhere else and **resume**
  - Snapshot of a given state, able to restore back to that state
    - Some VMMs allow multiple snapshots per VM
  - **Clone** by creating copy and running both original and copy
- Great for OS research, better system development efficiency
- Run multiple, different OSes on a single machine
  - **Consolidation**, app dev, …
Benefits and Features (cont.)

- **Templating** – create an OS + application VM, provide it to customers, use it to create multiple instances of that combination
- **Live migration** – move a running VM from one host to another!
  - No interruption of user access
- All those features taken together -> **cloud computing**
  - Using APIs, programs tell cloud infrastructure (servers, networking, storage) to create new guests, VMs, virtual desktops
Building Blocks

- Generally difficult to provide an **exact** duplicate of underlying machine
  - Especially if only dual-mode operation available on CPU
  - But getting easier over time as CPU features and support for VMM improves
  - Most VMMs implement **virtual CPU (VCPU)** to represent state of CPU per guest as guest believes it to be
    - When guest context switched onto CPU by VMM, information from VCPU loaded and stored
  - Several techniques, as described in next slides
Dual mode CPU means guest executes in user mode

- Kernel runs in kernel mode
- Not safe to let guest kernel run in kernel mode too
- So VM needs two modes – virtual user mode and virtual kernel mode
  - Both of which run in real user mode
- Actions in guest that usually cause switch to kernel mode must cause switch to virtual kernel mode
Trap-and-Emulate (cont.)

- How does switch from virtual user mode to virtual kernel mode occur?
  - Attempting a privileged instruction in user mode causes an error -> trap
  - VMM gains control, analyzes error, executes operation as attempted by guest
  - Returns control to guest in user mode
  - Known as **trap-and-emulate**
  - Most virtualization products use this at least in part

- User mode code in guest runs at same speed as if not a guest

- But kernel mode privilege mode code runs slower due to trap-and-emulate
  - Especially a problem when multiple guests running, each needing trap-and-emulate

- CPUs adding hardware support, mode CPU modes to improve virtualization performance
Trap-and-Emulate Virtualization Implementation

- User Processes
  - Privileged Instruction
    - Guest
    - Operating System
      - Trap
      - Return
  - Emulate Action
    - VMM
  - Update
  - VCPU
  - Kernel Mode
  - User Mode
Some CPUs don’t have clean separation between privileged and nonprivileged instructions

- Earlier Intel x86 CPUs are among them
  - Earliest Intel CPU designed for a calculator
- Backward compatibility means difficult to improve
- Consider Intel x86 `popf` instruction
  - Loads CPU flags register from contents of the stack
  - If CPU in privileged mode -> all flags replaced
  - If CPU in user mode -> on some flags replaced
    - No trap is generated
Binary Translation (cont.)

- Other similar problem instructions we will call **special instructions**
  - Caused trap-and-emulate method considered impossible until 1998
- Binary translation solves the problem
  - Basics are simple, but implementation very complex
    1. If guest VCPU is in user mode, guest can run instructions natively
    2. If guest VCPU in kernel mode (guest believes it is in kernel mode)
      1. VMM examines every instruction guest is about to execute by reading a few instructions ahead of program counter
      2. Non-special-instructions run natively
      3. Special instructions translated into new set of instructions that perform equivalent task (for example changing the flags in the VCPU)
Binary Translation (cont.)

- Implemented by translation of code within VMM
- Code reads native instructions dynamically from guest, on demand, generates native binary code that executes in place of original code
- Performance of this method would be poor without optimizations
  - Products like VMware use caching
    - Translate once, and when guest executes code containing special instruction cached translation used instead of translating again
    - Testing showed booting Windows XP as guest caused 950,000 translations, at 3 microseconds each, or 3 seconds (5%) slowdown over native
Binary Translation Virtualization Implementation
Nested Page Tables

- Memory management another general challenge to VMM implementations
- How can VMM keep page-table state for both guests believing they control the page tables and VMM that does control the tables?
- Common method (for trap-and-emulate and binary translation) is nested page tables (NPTs)
  - Each guest maintains page tables to translate virtual to physical addresses
  - VMM maintains per guest NPTs to represent guest’s page-table state
    - Just as VCPU stores guest CPU state
  - When guest on CPU -> VMM makes that guest’s NPTs the active system page tables
  - Guest tries to change page table -> VMM makes equivalent change to NPTs and its own page tables
  - Can cause many more TLB misses -> much slower performance
Building Blocks – Hardware Assistance

- All virtualization needs some HW support
- More support -> more feature rich, stable, better performance of guests
- Intel added new VT-x instructions in 2005 and AMD the AMD-V instructions in 2006
  - CPUs with these instructions remove need for binary translation
  - Generally define more CPU modes – “guest” and “host”
  - VMM can enable host mode, define characteristics of each guest VM, switch to guest mode and guest(s) on CPU(s)
  - In guest mode, guest OS thinks it is running natively, sees devices (as defined by VMM for that guest)
    - Access to virtualized device, priv instructions cause trap to VMM
    - CPU maintains VCPU, context switches it as needed
- HW support for Nested Page Tables, DMA, interrupts as well over time
Nested Page Tables
Types of Virtual Machines and Implementations

- Many variations as well as HW details
  - Assume VMMs take advantage of HW features
    - HW features can simplify implementation, improve performance
- Whatever the type, a VM has a lifecycle
  - Created by VMM
  - Resources assigned to it (number of cores, amount of memory, networking details, storage details)
  - In type 0 hypervisor, resources usually dedicated
  - Other types dedicate or share resources, or a mix
  - When no longer needed, VM can be deleted, freeing resources
- Steps simpler, faster than with a physical machine install
  - Can lead to virtual machine sprawl with lots of VMs, history and state difficult to track
Types of VMs – Type 0 Hypervisor

- Old idea, under many names by HW manufacturers
  - “partitions”, “domains”
  - A HW feature implemented by firmware
  - OS need to nothing special, VMM is in firmware
  - Smaller feature set than other types
  - Each guest has dedicated HW

- I/O a challenge as difficult to have enough devices, controllers to dedicate to each guest

- Sometimes VMM implements a **control partition** running daemons that other guests communicate with for shared I/O

- Can provide virtualization-within-virtualization (guest itself can be a VMM with guests
  - Other types have difficulty doing this
# Type 0 Hypervisor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Guest 1</th>
<th>Guest 2</th>
<th>Guest 3</th>
<th>Guest 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPUs</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypervisor (in firmware)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Types of VMs – Type 1 Hypervisor

- Commonly found in company datacenters
  - In a sense becoming “datacenter operating systems”
    - Datacenter managers control and manage OSes in new, sophisticated ways by controlling the Type 1 hypervisor
    - Consolidation of multiple OSes and apps onto less HW
    - Move guests between systems to balance performance
    - Snapshots and cloning

- Special purpose operating systems that run natively on HW
  - Rather than providing system call interface, create run and manage guest OSes
  - Can run on Type 0 hypervisors but not on other Type 1s
  - Run in kernel mode
  - Guests generally don’t know they are running in a VM
  - Implement device drivers for host HW because no other component can
  - Also provide other traditional OS services like CPU and memory management
Another variation is a general purpose OS that also provides VMM functionality

- RedHat Enterprise Linux with KVM, Windows with Hyper-V, Oracle Solaris
- Perform normal duties as well as VMM duties
- Typically less feature rich than dedicated Type 1 hypervisors

In many ways, treat guests OSes as just another process

- Albeit with special handling when guest tries to execute special instructions
Types of VMs – Type 2 Hypervisor

- Less interesting from an OS perspective
  - Very little OS involvement in virtualization
  - VMM is simply another process, run and managed by host
    - Even the host doesn’t know they are a VMM running guests
  - Tend to have poorer overall performance because can’t take advantage of some HW features
  - But also a benefit because require no changes to host OS
    - Student could have Type 2 hypervisor on native host, run multiple guests, all on standard host OS such as Windows, Linux, MacOS
Types of VMs – Paravirtualization

- Does not fit the definition of virtualization – VMM not presenting an exact duplication of underlying hardware
  - But still useful!
  - VMM provides services that guest must be modified to use
  - Leads to increased performance
  - Less needed as hardware support for VMs grows

- Xen, leader in paravirtualized space, adds several techniques
  - For example, clean and simple device abstractions
    - Efficient I/O
    - Good communication between guest and VMM about device I/O
    - Each device has circular buffer shared by guest and VMM via shared memory
Xen I/O via Shared Circular Buffer

**Request Consumer**
Private pointer in Xen

**Request Producer**
Shared pointer updated by guest OS

**Response Producer**
Shared pointer updated by Xen

**Response Consumer**
Private pointer in guest OS

- **Request queue** - Descriptors queued by the VM but not yet accepted by Xen
- **Outstanding descriptors** - Descriptor slots awaiting a response from Xen
- **Response queue** - Descriptors returned by Xen in response to serviced requests
- **Unused descriptors**
Types of VMs – Paravirtualization (cont.)

- Xen, leader in paravirtualized space, adds several techniques (Cont.)
  - Memory management does not include nested page tables
    - Each guest has own read-only tables
    - Guest uses **hypercall** (call to hypervisor) when page-table changes needed
- Paravirtualization allowed virtualization of older x86 CPUs (and others) without binary translation
- Guest had to be modified to use run on paravirtualized VMM
- But on modern CPUs Xen no longer requires guest modification
  -> no longer paravirtualization
Also not-really-virtualization but using same techniques, providing similar features

Programming language is designed to run within custom-built virtualized environment

- For example Oracle Java has many features that depend on running in Java Virtual Machine (JVM)

In this case virtualization is defined as providing APIs that define a set of features made available to a language and programs written in that language to provide an improved execution environment

JVM compiled to run on many systems (including some smart phones even)

Programs written in Java run in the JVM no matter the underlying system

Similar to interpreted languages
Types of VMs – Emulation

- Another (older) way for running one operating system on a different operating system
  - Virtualization requires underlying CPU to be same as guest was compiled for
  - Emulation allows guest to run on different CPU
- Necessary to translate all guest instructions from guest CPU to native CPU
  - Emulation, not virtualization
- Useful when host system has one architecture, guest compiled for other architecture
  - Company replacing outdated servers with new servers containing different CPU architecture, but still want to run old applications
- Performance challenge – order of magnitude slower than native code
  - New machines faster than older machines so can reduce slowdown
- Very popular – especially in gaming where old consoles emulated on new
Types of VMs – Application Containment

- Some goals of virtualization are segregation of apps, performance and resource management, easy start, stop, move, and management of them.

- Can do those things without full-fledged virtualization.
  - If applications compiled for the host operating system, don’t need full virtualization to meet these goals.

- Oracle **containers / zones** for example create virtual layer between OS and apps:
  - Only one kernel running – host OS
  - OS and devices are virtualized, providing resources within zone with impression that they are only processes on system
  - Each zone has its own applications; networking stack, addresses, and ports; user accounts, etc
  - CPU and memory resources divided between zones
    - Zone can have its own scheduler to use those resources
Solaris 10 with Two Zones

- **Global Zone**: user programs, system programs, CPU resources, memory resources
- **Zone 1**: user programs, system programs, network addresses, device access, CPU resources, memory resources
- **Zone 2**: user programs, system programs, network addresses, device access, CPU resources, memory resources

- **Virtual Platform Device Management**

- **Zone Management**

- **Solaris Kernel**

- **Network Addresses**

- **Devices**
  - device
  - \(\cdots\)
  - device
Now look at operating system aspects of virtualization

- CPU scheduling, memory management, I/O, storage, and unique VM migration feature
  - How do VMMs schedule CPU use when guests believe they have dedicated CPUs?
  - How can memory management work when many guests require large amounts of memory?
OS Component – CPU Scheduling

- Even single-CPU systems act like multiprocessor ones when virtualized
  - One or more virtual CPUs per guest
- Generally VMM has one or more physical CPUs and number of threads to run on them
  - Guests configured with certain number of VCPUs
    - Can be adjusted throughout life of VM
  - When enough CPUs for all guests -> VMM can allocate dedicated CPUs, each guest much like native operating system managing its CPUs
  - Usually not enough CPUs -> CPU overcommitment
    - VMM can use standard scheduling algorithms to put threads on CPUs
    - Some add fairness aspect
Cycle stealing by VMM and oversubscription of CPUs means guests don’t get CPU cycles they expect

- Consider timesharing scheduler in a guest trying to schedule 100ms time slices -> each may take 100ms, 1 second, or longer
  - Poor response times for users of guest
  - Time-of-day clocks incorrect
- Some VMMs provide application to run in each guest to fix time-of-day and provide other integration features
Also suffers from oversubscription -> requires extra management efficiency from VMM

For example, VMware ESX guests have a configured amount of physical memory, then ESX uses 3 methods of memory management

1. Double-paging, in which the guest page table indicates a page is in a physical frame but the VMM moves some of those pages to backing store

2. Install a **pseudo-device driver** in each guest (it looks like a device driver to the guest kernel but really just adds kernel-mode code to the guest)
   - Balloon memory manager communicates with VMM and is told to allocate or deallocate memory to decrease or increase physical memory use of guest, causing guest OS to free or have more memory available

3. Deduplication by VMM determining if same page loaded more than once, memory mapping the same page into multiple guests
Easier for VMMs to integrate with guests because I/O has lots of variation
- Already somewhat segregated / flexible via device drivers
- VMM can provide new devices and device drivers

But overall I/O is complicated for VMMs
- Many short paths for I/O in standard OSes for improved performance
- Less hypervisor needs to do for I/O for guests, the better
- Possibilities include direct device access, DMA pass-through, direct interrupt delivery
  - Again, HW support needed for these

Networking also complex as VMM and guests all need network access
- VMM can bridge guest to network (allowing direct access)
- And / or provide network address translation (NAT)
  - NAT address local to machine on which guest is running, VMM provides address translation to guest to hide its address
Both boot disk and general data access need to be provided by VMM.

Need to support potentially dozens of guests per VMM (so standard disk partitioning not sufficient).

Type 1 – storage guest root disks and config information within file system provided by VMM as a disk image.

Type 2 – store as files in file system provided by host OS.

Duplicate file -> create new guest.

Move file to another system -> move guest.

Physical-to-virtual (P-to-V) convert native disk blocks into VMM format.

Virtual-to-physical (V-to-P) convert from virtual format to native or disk format.

VMM also needs to provide access to network attached storage (just networking) and other disk images, disk partitions, disks, etc.
OS Component – Live Migration

- Taking advantage of VMM features leads to new functionality not found on general operating systems such as live migration
- Running guest can be moved between systems, without interrupting user access to the guest or its apps
- Very useful for resource management, maintenance downtime windows, etc
  1. The source VMM establishes a connection with the target VMM
  2. The target creates a new guest by creating a new VCPU, etc
  3. The source sends all read-only guest memory pages to the target
  4. The source sends all read-write pages to the target, marking them as clean
  5. The source repeats step 4, as during that step some pages were probably modified by the guest and are now dirty
  6. When cycle of steps 4 and 5 becomes very short, source VMM freezes guest, sends VCPU’s final state, sends other state details, sends final dirty pages, and tells target to start running the guest
    - Once target acknowledges that guest running, source terminates guest
Live Migration of Guest Between Servers

1. Establish
2. Create Guest Target
3. Send R/O Pages
4. Send R/W Pages
5. Send Dirty Pages (repeatedly)
6. Running Guest Target
7. Terminate Guest Source

Guest Target running
Examples - VMware

- VMware Workstation runs on x86, provides VMM for guests
- Runs as application on other native, installed host operating system -> Type 2
- Lots of guests possible, including Windows, Linux, etc all runnable concurrently (as resources allow)
- Virtualization layer abstracts underlying HW, providing guest with its own virtual CPUs, memory, disk drives, network interfaces, etc
- Physical disks can be provided to guests, or virtual physical disks (just files within host file system)
VMware Workstation Architecture

- Application
  - Guest operating system (free BSD)
  - Virtual CPU
  - Virtual memory
  - Virtual devices

- Application
  - Guest operating system (Windows NT)
  - Virtual CPU
  - Virtual memory
  - Virtual devices

- Application
  - Guest operating system (Windows XP)
  - Virtual CPU
  - Virtual memory
  - Virtual devices

- Virtualization layer

- Host operating system (Linux)

- Hardware
  - CPU
  - Memory
  - I/O devices
Examples – Java Virtual Machine

- Example of programming-environment virtualization
- Very popular language / application environment invented by Sun Microsystems in 1995
- Write once, run anywhere
- Includes language specification (Java), API library, Java virtual machine (JVM)
- Java objects specified by class construct, Java program is one or more objects
- Each Java object compiled into architecture-neutral **bytecode** output (.class) which JVM **class loader** loads
- JVM compiled per architecture, reads bytecode and executes
- Includes **garbage collection** to reclaim memory no longer in use
- Made faster by **just-in-time (JIT)** compiler that turns bytecodes into native code and caches them
The Java Virtual Machine

Java program .class files → class loader → Java interpreter → host system (Windows, Linux, etc.) → Java API .class files
End of Chapter 16